PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

FENSTER, Paul et al. FENSTER & COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. Box 10256 IL-49002 Petach Tikva ISRAEL

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

12.06.2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference 414/04493

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

International application No. PCT/L2005/000442

28.04.2005

29.04.2004

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant

REABILITY INC. et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u>)</u>))

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx; 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Ullrich, C

Tel. +49 89 2399-232

Docketed By /R

To: MF

FENSTER & Co.

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 414/04493	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
international application No. PCT/IL2005/000442	International filing date (day/month/year) 28.04.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.04.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC INV. A61N1/36 A61B5/11 A61B					
Applicant REABILITY INC. et al.					
This report is the international Authority under Article 35 an	al preliminary examination report, established transmitted to the applicant according to	ed by this International Preliminary Examining Article 36.			
2. This REPORT consists of a	total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet	i.			
	nied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. 🗵 sent to the applicant	The state of the s				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section Administrative Instructions).					
☐ sheets which sup beyond the discle Supplemental Bo	osure in the international application as filed	ority considers contain an amendment that goes d, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the			
sequence listing and	onal Bureau only) a total of (indicate type ar for tables related thereto, in electronic form E Listing (see Section 802 of the Administra	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box tive Instructions).			
1					
	·				
4. This report contains indication	ons relating to the following items:				
4. This report contains indication Box No. I Basis of the second secon					
☐ Box No. I Basis of th	ne report				
☐ Box No. I Basis of th		inventive step and industrial applicability			
☐ Box No. I Basis of th☐ Box No. II Priority☐ Box No. III Non-estab☐ Box No. IV Lack of ur	ne report blishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention				
☐ Box No. I Basis of th☐ Box No. II Priority☐ Box No. III Non-estable☐ Box No. IV Lack of ur☐ Box No. V Reasoned applicabili	ne report Dishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention I statement under Article 35(2) with regard ity; citations and explanations supporting su	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
 ☑ Box No. I Basis of th ☐ Box No. II Priority ☑ Box No. III Non-estable ☐ Box No. IV Lack of ur ☑ Box No. V Reasoned applicabilit ☑ Box No. VI Certain do 	ne report Dishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention distatement under Article 35(2) with regard try; citations and explanations supporting supported	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-estable Box No. IV Lack of ure Box No. V Reasoned applicability Box No. VI Certain down	ne report Dishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention I statement under Article 35(2) with regard ity; citations and explanations supporting supporting supports occuments cited	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-estable Box No. IV Lack of ure Box No. V Reasoned applicability Box No. VI Certain down	ne report Dishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention distatement under Article 35(2) with regard try; citations and explanations supporting supported	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-estable Box No. IV Lack of ure Box No. V Reasoned applicability Box No. VI Certain down	ne report Dishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention of statement under Article 35(2) with regard try; citations and explanations supporting supporting supported affects in the international application observations on the international application	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-estable Box No. IV Lack of ure Box No. V Reasoned applicability Box No. VI Certain do Box No. VII Certain do Box No. VIII Certain of Box No. VIII Certain of	ne report Dishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention of statement under Article 35(2) with regard try; citations and explanations supporting supporting supported affects in the international application observations on the international application	to novelty, inventive step or industrial uch statement			
Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-estate Box No. IV Lack of ur Box No. V Reasoned applicability Box No. VI Certain do Box No. VII Certain do Box No. VIII Certain of Date of submission of the demand 28.02.2006	plishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention distatement under Article 35(2) with regardity; citations and explanations supporting supporting supports of the international application disservations on the international application. Date of compare the international application distribution of the international application distribution.	to novelty, inventive step or industrial uch statement sletton of this report			
Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-estable Box No. IV Lack of ur Box No. V Reasoned applicability Box No. VI Certain do Box No. VII Certain of Box No. VIII Certain of Date of submission of the demand 28,02,2006 Name and mailing address of the interior	plishment of opinion with regard to novelty, nity of invention distatement under Article 35(2) with regardity; citations and explanations supporting supporting supporting supports of the international application deservations on the international application. Date of comparts of the international application deservations on the international application. Date of comparts of the international application deservational	to novelty, inventive step or industrial uch statement eletion of this report fficer			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000442

	Box No. I Basis of the report		
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on		
	★ the international application is	n the language in which it was filed	
	of a translation furnished for international search (under publication of the internat		
2.	With regard to the elements* of the have been furnished to the receivable report as "originally filed" and are	he international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this not annexed to this report):	
	Deparintian Pages		
	Description, Pages	as originally filed	
	1 02		
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-31	filed with telefax on 28.02.2006	
	Drawings, Sheets		
	1/7-7/7	as originally filed	
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ The amendments have result the description, pages the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specially any table(s) related to se	ecify):	
4.	☐ This report has been established not been made, since they is Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)) ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (special any table(s) related to see	ecify):	
	* If item 4 applies. so	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000442

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
١.	The obv	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- bvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:		
		the entire international application,		
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 31		
	bec	because:		
	\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 31 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
		see separate sheet		
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):		
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> .		
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 31		
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:		
		☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.		
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.		
		□ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.		
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.		
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
		See separate sheet for further details		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-7,11-13,15-18,20,26,27,29

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

8-10,14,19,21-25,28,30

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-30

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)
 and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

No search has ben carried out (Rule 39.1(iv) PCT) for claim 31, since it relates to a method for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy. Indeed, claim 31 pertains to the delivery of a therapeutic neuromuscular stimulation signal to the human body. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V.

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/092164 A (FONDATION SUISSE POUR LES CYBERTHESES; BRODARD, ROLAND; CLAVEL, REYMON) 21 November 2002

D2: US 5 466 213 A (HOGAN ET AL) 14 November 1995

D3: US 4 499 900 A (PETROFSKY ET AL) 19 February 1985

D4: US 4 724 842 A (CHARTERS ET AL) 16 February 1988

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 Preliminary remark

It is pointed out that the features of the "mechanical motion element <u>coupled to at least</u> <u>one of said body portion</u> and a <u>mirror body portion</u> and the "EMG sensor <u>coupled</u> <u>to said mirror body portion</u>" only indicates the suitability of the respective parts of the apparatus to be coupled to the body: in fact, they rather define the use of the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features. The features emphasised in bold do therefore not limit the scope of claim 1 (Article 6 PCT).

- 2.2 Document **D1** (see in particular figs. 1-4) discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) an apparatus for muscle activation comprising:
 - at least one electrode (37, 38) adapted to deliver a neuromuscular stimulation signal to a body portion;

- at least one controller (31) adapted to provide a NMES signal comprising a sequence of stimulation signals to said at least one electrode; and
- a mechanical motion element (2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 20, 21, 22) suitable (adapted) to be coupled to at least one of said body portion and a mirror body portion,
- wherein said mechanical motion element is operatively coupled to said at least one controller (see fig. 4) and wherein said at least one controller controls said NMES signal in conjunction with said mechanical motion element (p. 12, 2nd paragraph and p. 21, second and third paragraphs).

Further, as becomes apparent from p. 19, I. 13-15 and I. 30-32, a feedback control based on the muscular activity controller is implemented in the controller of D1: indeed, D1 discloses the use of muscular model established by analysing the EMG signal of a mirror body portion (see p. 19, I. 30-32). Hence, at least one EMG sensor is disclosed by D1 and the EMG sensor is certainly adapted to be coupled to a mirror body portion.

D1 clearly discloses the "double feedback" as claimed in claim 1 (i.e. mechanical motion feedback and EMG feedback) (see p. 21, l. 15-28: Closed-Loop Electrical Muscle Stimulation).

Accordingly, all the features of claim 1 are anticipated by D1 and claim 1 therefore lacks novelty (Article 33 (2) PCT).

- 2.3 Further, **D2** (see in particular col. 3, I. 3-7; col. 6, I. 54-59; fig. 14) also anticipates the subject-matter of claim 1, since it discloses an apparatus for muscle activation comprising:
 - at least one electrode (E1 or E2) adapted to deliver a neuromuscular stimulation signal to a body portion;
 - at least one controller (AB, 32) adapted to provide a NMES signal comprising a sequence of stimulation signals to said at least one electrode; and
 - a mechanical motion element (10) suitable to be coupled to at least one of said body portion and a mirror body portion,
 - wherein said mechanical motion element is operatively coupled to said at least one controller and wherein said at least one controller controls said NMES signal in conjunction with said mechanical motion element (col. 6, l. 54-59).

- D2 (col. 3, I. 3-7) discloses also an EMG sensor used in combination with an NMES stimulator ("Electromyographic implementation feature <u>and</u> a Functional Electric Stimulation implementation feature).
- 3. Dependent claims 2-30 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
- 3.1 **D1** anticipates the subject-matter of following claims (Article 33 (2) PCT):
 - Claims 1-5: in D1, the mechanical motion element moves, guides and measures motion of the body portion (first leg) and the mirror body portion (second leg), which motion is in response to said NMES sequence.
 - Claim 6: the controller of D1 is programmable, so a programmer is implicitly disclosed in D1.
 - Claim 7: in D1, a closed loop feedback control for booth the mechanical motion and the EMG controlled stimulation is effected: hence a sequence optimisation is also disclosed.
 - Claims 11-13: see D1, p. 21, second and third paragraphs.
 - Claim 15: see memory (39) of D1.
 - Claims 16-18: in D1, the operator can modify the stimulation sequence as desired (see p. 19, 4th paragraph p. 20, 3rd paragraph).
 - Claim 20: force sensor (35) in D1.
 - Claim 26: in D1, one can choose from stimulation only, stimulation assisted rehabilitation and "mechanical" rehabilitation only (see p. 21, last paragraph p. 23, 3rd paragraph): therefore, the controller can act independently of the mechanical motion element.
 - Claim 27: in D1, the electrodes are placed on the thighs and on the legs (see fig. 4), hence the NMES sequence is for application to at least two muscles.
 - Claim 29: mechanical motion elements (2, 3, 4) and motors (20, 21, 22) of D1 represent a robotic actuator (see also p. 15, l. 2).
- 3.2 The features of claims 8-10, 14, 19, 21-25, 28 and 30 represent slight constructional changes from the known devices (see documents **D1-D4**) which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the

advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Moreover, these features concern straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to improve the known devices. Consequently, the subject-matter of these claims lacks an inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT.

Re Item VI.

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No Publication date (day/month/year)

Filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

WO 2004/050172

17.06.2004

03.12.2003

04.12.2003

This document could become relevant for novelty in further proceedings before the EPO.

Re Item VII.

1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

CLAIMS

- Apparatus for muscle activation, comprising:
- at least one electrode (138) adapted to deliver a neuromuscular stimulation (NMES) signal to a body portion (146);
- at least one controller (124) adapted to provide a NMES signal comprising a sequence of stimulation signals to said at least one electrode (138);
- a mechanical motion element (300) coupled to at least one of said body portion (146) and a mirror body portion (102), wherein said mechanical motion element (300) is operatively coupled to said at least one controller (124) and wherein said at least one controller (124) controls said NMES signal in conjunction with said mechanical motion element (300); and,
- at least one EMG sensor (104) coupled to said mirror body portion (102), wherein said controller (124) is adapted to generate said NMES signal based on sensed EMG signals from said at least one EMG sensor (104).
- 2. Apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said mechanical motion element (300) moves said body portion (146).
- 3. Apparatus according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein said mechanical motion element (300) measures motion of said body portion (146), which motion is in response to said NMES sequence.
- 4. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said mechanical motion element (300) guides motion of said body portion (146), which motion is in response to said NMES sequence.
- 5. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said mechanical motion element (300) guides motion of said mirror body portion (102), which NMES is generated in response to said motion.
- 6. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, comprising a programmer adapted to program NMES sequences for said electrodes (138).

- 7. Apparatus according to claim 6, wherein said programmer includes a sequence optimizer which modifies NMES sequences in response to at least one optimization criterion.
- Apparatus according to claim 7, wherein said optimization criterion comprises the ability of a patient.
- 9. Apparatus according to claim 7, wherein said optimization criterion comprises electrode limitations.
- 10. Apparatus according to claim 7, wherein said optimization criterion comprises a quality of result, as measured by said mechanical motion element (300).
- 11. Apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising at least one EMG sensor coupled to a mirror body portion (102) on a different person for measuring EMG signals from the different person.
- 12. Apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising at least one EMG sensor (148) coupled to said body portion (142) for measuring EMG signals from the body portion (142).
- 13. Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein said controller (124) generates a NMES signal responsive to at least one of an amplitude and existence of EMG signal at a location to which NMES is to be applied.
- 14. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said controller (124) is adapted to generate an indication of which electrodes of said at least one electrode to use.
- 15. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, comprising a memory storing therein a plurality of NMES sequences, for at least one daily activity.
- 16. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, comprising a user input for generating a NMES sequence.

- 17. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said controller (124) is adapted to generate a NMES sequence for use for said electrodes based on a desired motion of said body portion (146).
- 18. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said controller (124) is adapted to modify a stored NMES sequence for use for said electrodes based on a desired motion of said body portion (146).
- 19. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said controller (124) is adapted to compare an actual effect of a NMES sequence and a desired effect of said sequence and detect at least one deviation.
 - 20. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said mechanical motion element (300) is adapted to measure force applied by said body portion (146) in response to said NMES.
 - 21. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, comprising a calibrator adapted to calibrate at least one sensor associated with motion of said portion.
 - 22. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, comprising an interactive user guide for electrode NMES programming.
 - 23. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said electrodes are implantable.
 - 24. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said electrodes form part of a prosthesis.
 - 25. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said electrodes are adapted to be worn for the long term.

- 26. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said electrodes and at least one of said at least one controller (124) are adapted to act independently of and removed from said mechanical motion element (300).
- 27. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said NMES sequence comprises a sequence for application to at least two muscles.
- 28. Apparatus according to any of the preceding claims, wherein said NMES sequence is at least 20 seconds long.
- 29. Apparatus according to any of claims wherein said mechanical motion element (300) comprises an actuator.
- 30. Apparatus according to claim 29, wherein said actuator comprises a robotic actuator with at least 3 degrees of motion.
- 31. A method of electrode setting for NMES, comprising:
 applying a NMES sequence to a limb (146);
 measuring motion of the limb (146);
 modifying said NMES sequence responsive to said measured motion; and repeating said applying, said measuring and said modifying, using a mechanical motion element (300) to at least one of move said limb (146), resist motion of said limb (146) and measure motion of said limb (146).